Figuring out the Testing of Configurable Software

Michael Stahl, Intel Mar 2019



- Names and brands referenced herein may be claimed as the property of third parties
- The views expressed in this presentation are solely my own, and do not in any manner represent the views of my employer
- Information in this presentation is provided "AS IS" without any warranties or representations of any kind

ISO 26262, Annex C

Road Vehicles — Functional Safety 1st installment



C.2 General



Annex C (normative) **Software configuration**

© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved



Terms

1st installment



© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved

Warning!

The standard's terminology won't always match to what you expect or are using!







Configuration Data: Compiler version; Defines; Optimization directives; ...





3. ISO 26262 Annex C

2nd Instalment



C.4.5

"A combination of the following verification activities can achieve the complete verification of the configured software"

Q. "verification of the configurable software",**b.** "verification of the configuration data", and**C.** "verification of the configured software".

Verification (in ISO 26262)

 $ver \cdot i \cdot fi \cdot ca \cdot tion | \setminus ver - a - fa - ka - shan$

Static testing (reviews; static analysis)
 Dynamic testing

C.4.5

"A combination of the following verification activities can achieve the complete verification of the configured software"

O. "verification of the configurable software",

- D. "verification of the configuration data", and
- C. "verification of the configured software".

Acceptable options:

- (a) + (b)
- (b) + (c)

Dynamic testing

Static testing

Dynamic testing

Remember!

The discussion is about safety-relevant requirements and features*

* Largely applicable to non-safety-relevant features

Example: Cruise Control Module

- Configuration parameters:
 Legacy Cruise Control (CC)
 Adaptive CC (ACC)
 Speed limit (SL)
- Configuration Data = Y/N
 È Eight possible configurations

•	
	3

Legacy	Adaptive	Speed Limit
Ν	N	Ν
Ν	N	Y
Ν	Y	Ν
Ν	Y	Y
Y	N	Ν
Y	N	Y
Y	Y	Ν
Y	Y	Y

Build command:

Build [CC] [ACC] [SL] (default: CC)

Example: Cruise Control Module

Release 12.0.0.3 supports CC+SL

Option A:

- C) Test the module with each of the combinations
- D) Verify that the parameters used for version 12.0.0.3 are CC+SL

Option B: b) Verify that the parameters used for version 12.0.0.3 are CC+SL c) Test version 12.0.0.3 One version

Eight versions

When to use what?

(a)+(b) seems to **always** call for more work.

Why would you **ever** want to go that way?

When to use what?

Option (a)+(b):

- Configuration data is a range

 ... and you have many versions of the binary
- Open Source; Code delivery

Option (b)+(c): Binary delivery

All other cases

The rest of this presentation assumes Option (b) + (c)



Test Strategy

Configuration Parameters



© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved

What are we validating?

- Validity
 - Valid values are accepted
 - Invalid values rejected (the binary is not built)
- Functionality
 - □ The resulting binary and functionality is as required

Parameter Data Validity

- Validity

 All parameters assigned valid values
- Error protection measures
 - Invalid values or invalid combinations are rejected by the build script
- Build System Change Control
 Prevent unintended changes to the parameters values



Functionality

If parameter A enables feature X

- □ X exists when A is set
- X does not exist when A is not set
- □ X is functional when it exists

X is tested dynamically on the compiled binary

The binary (may) use **Calibration Parameters** that are set with **Calibration Data**

5. Terms

2nd Instalment



© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved



Calibration Parameters





Calibration Parameters















....



Platform n











. . . .



....

6. Analysis of configurations

Selecting the HW-SW combinations to test



Platform-dependent Configuration Parameters

- Take different values based on the HW
- For a given HW, a parameter may have:
 Single viable value
 Multiple viable values
- Each set of configuration parameters creates a different "Configured Software" (CSW)
- A specific CSW may fit a number of HW configurations

Types of SW-HW configurations





1 to Many



CSW1 HW1 CSW2 HW2

Many to Many

1 to 1



CC = Cruise Control

© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved
1 to Many



Many to 1



Many to Many



CC = Cruise Control SL = Speed Limit

© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved

Analysis of SW-HW configurations







Many to Many











Analysis of SW-HW configurations





For each Safety feature:

Split the **Configured SW** versions to two groups



SW group A Supports the feature



SW group B

Does not support the feature

For each Safety feature:

Split the HW versions to two groups



HW group A Supports the feature



HW group B Does not support the feature

For each Safety feature:

Split the HW versions to two groups



HW group A Fits at least one binary of SW group A

HW group B Fits no binary from group A





© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved



HW group B



49

© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved

Lead Platform selection

Prioritize:

- Full feature support over partial
- Expected market-share leader
- Worst-case for the feature-under-test
 - e.g. Time constrained feature => slowest platform
 - e.g. Positioning feature => platform with worst sensors

Lead Platform selection

Considerations may contradict each other

- May need more than a single "Lead Platform"
- Can switch Lead Platform between test cycles

Performance requirements may need to be tested on each platform



Lead Binary selection

Prioritize:



- Full feature support over partial (on the Lead Platform!)
- Expected market-share leader
- Most diverse support of Calibration parameters affecting the feature-under-test (number of params; range)

Considerations may contradict each other

- May need more than a single "Lead Binary"
- Can switch Lead Binary between test cycles

Result: Lead Configuration



8. Test Strategy

Calibration Parameters



© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved

The Role of the Lead Configuration



Strategy

- Most testing is done on the Lead Configuration
- The results are considered applicable to all configurations



The Role of the Lead Configuration

Assumptions / Justification

- The Lead Binary is a superset of the other binaries code
- The Lead Platform is a superset of the other HW options
- Testing covers the full range of config & calib values
- \Rightarrow You may need more than a single Lead Configuration
- \Rightarrow Must document the selection rationale
- \Rightarrow Must document how config & calib values are covered



What are we validating?

Validity

- Valid values are accepted
- Invalid values rejected properly

Runtime validity

Ensure the validity of values before using them

Functionality

The parameters affect program behavior as required

What are we validating?

Validity

- Valid values are accepted
- Invalid values rejected properly
- Runtime validity
 - Ensure the validity of values before using them

Functionality

The parameters affect program behavior as required

Parameter Data Validity

- Dynamic testing
 - Equivalence Class Partitioning
 - Boundary Value analysis
- Test both valid and invalid values; combinations
- Ensure proper behavior for invalid cases



What are we validating?

- Validity
 - □ Valid values are accepted
 - Invalid values rejected properly
- Runtime validity

 Ensure the validity of values before using them
- Functionality
 - □ The parameters affect program behavior as required

Runtime Validity Checks

Unique to Functional Safety!

Table 17 — Mechanisms for the detection of unintended changes of data

1a Plausibility checks on calibration data

Recommended!

1b Redundant storage and comparison of calibration data

1c Calibration data checks using error detecting codes^a

Error detecting codes may also be implemented in the hardware in accordance with ISO 26262-5:2018.

ISO 26262:6-2018, Annex C.4.10

Runtime Parameter Data Validity

Plausibility checks



Runtime Parameter Data Validity

Redundant storage



Runtime Parameter Data Validity

Error detection mechanisms





Runtime Parameter Data Validity Test Techniques

General approach:

- Access the calibration parameter prior to use
- Change it to plausible or non-plausible value
- Verify correct behavior
- **Debugger:** Breakpoint modify param value
- Test code: Change param values at known time or on event
- Direct Memory access: Modify params in memory

What are we validating?

- Validity
 - □ Valid values are accepted
 - Invalid values rejected properly
- Runtime validity
 Ensure the validity of values before using them

Functionality

- The parameters affect program behavior as required
- Functional, non-functional, stress, load, regulatory, etc. etc.

Functionality

Independent Calibration Parameter

Full validation on the Lead Configuration

- □ All values for enumerated parameters
- □ EC / BV for ranges

• "Touch testing" on all the other binaries in SW group A

- Show the feature is alive
- Calibration parameter set to expected "popular" values
- Lead Platform or any other HW that supports the feature

Basic negative test

- Ensure proper behavior when calling the non-existing feature
- Run on each of the binaries is SW group B
- Use any HW platform that fits the tested binary

Functionality

Dependent Calibration Parameters

- Define the combinations to cover
- Select the Lead Combinations: most important due to
 Worst-cases; Expected Popularity; Etc.
- On the Lead Configuration
 - Full testing on the Lead Combinations
 - Including interaction between features
 - Touch testing on the less important combinations
- On non-lead configurations
 - Touch testing on the Lead Combinations
 - Range and validity check on the less important combinations
- Basic negative test

Done!...

Let's Sumarize...

Disclaimer

This was MY interpretation of the standard

Backed by a few expert reviewers ...but certainly not an official view

Remember!

The discussion is about safety-relevant requirements and features*

* Largely applicable to non-safety-relevant features

Software Code

+

Configuration Parameters

Configurable Software


Configurable Software +**Configuration Data Configured Software**

Configured Software (may) have Parameters



Configured Software (may) have Calibration Parameters





Configured Software +-**Calibration Data** Specific SW Application

There are

options to verify the **configurable software**

There are 2 options to verify the configurable software





Option (b) + (c)





Select Lead Platform & _____

Select & Lead Platform Lead Binary to make the

Select Lead Platform & Lead Binary

to make the Lead Configuration

Configuration Parameters



Configuration Parameters

Validity E P

Configuration Parameters Calibration Parameters

Validity **Error Protection**



Configuration Parameters

Calibration Parameters

Validity Error Protection



Configuration Parameters

Calibration Parameters

Validity Error Protection Validity Runtime Validity

Configuration Parameters

Calibration Parameters

Validity Error Protection

Validity Runtime Validity **Full Validation**

(functional, non-functional, stress, load, regulatory, etc. etc.)

Where do we test?

Mostly on the L____ C____

Where do we test?

Mostly on the Lead Configuration

Less on the other HW-SW combinations

Now we are really Done!

Thanks!

Any questions?

You can find me at

- michael.stahl@intel.com
- LinkedIn: <u>michaelmstahl</u>

You can find this presentation + paper at <u>www.testprincipia.com</u>







Credits

Thanks **Simone Fabris**, **Maurizio Iacaruso**, **Gabriele Paoloni** and **Itamar Sharoni**, from Intel and Mobileye, for their invaluable advice and review while writing this paper.

Special thanks to all the people who made and released these awesome resources for free:

- Presentation template by <u>SlidesCarnival</u>
- Photographs by <u>Unsplash</u>

Backup

Static, Independent and Dependent Configuration Parameters



Static Parameters

- **#pragma** directives that are always used
- **#ifdef** clauses that are always True (or always False)
- A build-script parameter that is used with only a single value
- Calibration parameters that get only one value

Platform selection: Ignore these => Test on the Lead Platform

Platform Dependent Parameters

Assumption: Per-feature, the configured SW versions are more-or-less the same:

- Much is common
- Some differences to accommodate different HW platforms

Platform selection: The differences are what influence the Lead Platform selection

=> Test on the Lead Platform

If the assumption is wrong, treat each unique implementation of the feature as a separate feature

© Michael Stahl, 2019, All Rights Reserved

Common Parameters

Any of the SW-HW combinations (from groups A) are good for testing these parameters

Platform selection: Any platform that supports the feature
=> Test on the Lead Platform

Independent parameter: The impact on the Configured SW is the same, regardless to other Config Params

C:\>build.bat cc ON speedLimit ON	CSW1	CC + SL
C:\>build.bat cc ON speedLimit OFF	CSW2	CC only

Independent parameter: The impact on the Configured SW is the same, regardless to other Config Params

Platform selection: Any platform that supports the feature
=> Test on the Lead Platform

Dependent parameter: The impact on the Configured SW is dependent on other Config Params

C:\>build.bat cc ON abs ON	CSW1	Adaptive CC
C:\>build.bat cc ON abs OFF	CSW2	CC only

Dependent parameter: The impact on the Configured SW is dependent on other Config Params

Platform selection:

- Create ALL CSW permutations
- Preferred platform will support all permutations
- => Test on the Lead Platform

Assumption: In the real world, there won't be **that** many CSW's Since multiple variations are easier to do with Calibration Parameters